Breast Cancer® D H P

Conversations with Oncology Investigators Bridging the Gap between Research and Patient Care

FACULTY INTERVIEWS

Eric P Winer, MD Daniel F Hayes, MD Ingrid A Mayer, MD, MSCI

EDITOR

Neil Love, MD















Fditor Neil Love, MD

Director, Clinical Content and CPD/CME

Scientific Director

Kathryn Ault Ziel, PhD Richard Kaderman, PhD

Editorial

Clayton Campbell Felix M Chinea, MD

Marilyn Fernandez, PhD

Adam P Hustad Gloria Kelly, PhD

Kemi Obajimi, PhD

Creative Manager

Fernando Rendina Jessica Benitez

Graphic Designers

Tamara Dabnev

Silvana Izquierdo

Senior Manager, Special Projects

Kirsten Miller

Senior Production Editor

Aura Herrmann

Editorial Managers

Ellen Bohnstengel Kyriaki Tsaganis

Copy Editors

Megan Bailey

Rosemary Hulce

Pat Morrissey/Havlin

Alexis Oneca

Production Manager

Tracy Potter

Audio Production Web Master Frank Cesarano John Ribeiro

Faculty Relations Manager

Stephanie Bodanyi, CMP

Continuing Education Administrator for Nursing

Contact Information

Neil Love, MD

Research To Practice

One Biscayne Tower

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600

Miami, FL 33131

Fax: (305) 377-9998

Fmail: DrNeill ove@ResearchToPractice.com

Karen Gabel Speroni, BSN, MHSA, PhD, RN

For CME/CNE Information

Email: CE@ResearchToPractice.com

Copyright © 2019 Research To Practice. All rights reserved.

The compact disc, Internet content and accompanying printed material are protected by copyright. No part of this program may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or utilizing any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

Participants have an implied responsibility to use the newly acquired information to enhance patient outcomes and their

own professional development. The information presented in this activity is not meant to serve as a guideline for patient management.

Any procedures, medications or other courses of diagnosis or treatment discussed or suggested in this activity should not be used by clinicians without evaluation of their patients' conditions and possible contraindications or dangers in use, review of any applicable manufacturer's product information and comparison with recommendations of other authorities.

Breast Cancer Update — A Continuing Medical Education Audio Series

OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITY

Breast cancer (BC) continues to be one of the most rapidly evolving fields in medical oncology. Results from numerous ongoing trials lead to the continual emergence of new therapeutic agents, treatment strategies and diagnostic and prognostic tools. In order to offer optimal patient care, including the option of clinical trial participation, the practicing cancer clinician must be well informed of these advances. Featuring information on the latest research developments along with expert perspectives, this CME activity is designed to assist medical oncologists, hematologist-oncologists and hematology-oncology fellows with the formulation of up-to-date clinical management strategies.

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Implement a long-term clinical plan for the management of metastatic HER2-positive BC, incorporating existing, recently approved and emerging targeted treatments.
- Consider published data to guide the use of biomarkers and genomic assays to assess risk and individualize therapy for patients with hormone receptor-positive BC in the neoadjuvant, adjuvant and extended-adjuvant settings.
- Develop an evidence-based algorithm for the treatment of advanced, hormone receptor-positive pre- and postmenopausal BC, including the use of endocrine, biologic and chemotherapeutic agents.
- Consider published research and patient preferences in the selection and sequencing of available and investigational therapeutic agents for metastatic triple-negative BC.
- Counsel appropriately selected patients with BC about participation in ongoing clinical trials.

ACCREDITATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

CREDIT DESIGNATION STATEMENT

Research To Practice designates this enduring material for a maximum of 3.25 AMA PRA Category 1 CreditsTM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

AMERICAN BOARD OF INTERNAL MEDICINE (ABIM) — MAINTENANCE OF CERTIFICATION (MOC)

Successful completion of this CME activity, which includes participation in the evaluation component, enables the participant to earn up to 3.25 Medical Knowledge MOC points in the American Board of Internal Medicine's (ABIM) Maintenance of Certification (MOC) program. Participants will earn MOC points equivalent to the amount of CME credits claimed for the activity. It is the CME activity provider's responsibility to submit participant completion information to ACCME for the purpose of granting ABIM MOC credit.

Please note, this program has been specifically designed for the following ABIM specialty: medical oncology.

Personal information and data sharing: Research To Practice aggregates deidentified user data for program-use analysis, program development, activity planning and site improvement. We may provide aggregate and deidentified data to third parties, including commercial supporters. We do not share or sell personally identifiable information to any unaffiliated third parties or commercial supporters. Please see our privacy policy at ResearchToPractice.com/ Privacy-Policy for more information.

HOW TO USE THIS CME ACTIVITY

This CME activity contains an audio component. To receive credit, the participant should review the CME information, listen to the audio tracks, complete the Post-test with a score of 80% or better and fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form located in the back of this booklet or on our website at **ResearchToPractice.com/BCU119/CME**. A complete list of supporting references may also be accessed at **ResearchToPractice.com/BCU119**. The corresponding video program is available as an alternative at **ResearchToPractice.com/BCU119/Video**.

This activity is supported by educational grants from AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Eisai Inc, Genomic Health Inc, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Puma Biotechnology Inc and Seattle Genetics.

Release date: January 2019; Expiration date: January 2020

If you would like to discontinue your complimentary subscription to *Breast Cancer Update*, please email us at **Info@ResearchToPractice.com**, call us at (800) 648-8654 or fax us at (305) 377-9998. Please include your full name and address, and we will remove you from the mailing list.

CME INFORMATION

FACULTY AFFILIATIONS



Eric P Winer, MD
Senior Vice President for
Medical Affairs
Chief, Division of Breast Oncology
Thompson Chair in Breast
Cancer Research
Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
Professor of Medicine
Harvard Medical School
Roston Massachusetts



Ingrid A Mayer, MD, MSCI
Ingram Professor of Cancer Research
Professor of Medicine
Leader, VICC Breast Cancer
Research Program
Co-Principal Investigator
VICC Breast Cancer SPORE
Division of Hematology-Oncology
Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center
Nashville, Tennessee



Daniel F Hayes, MD Stuart B Padnos Professor of Breast Cancer Research University of Michigan Rogel Cancer Center Professor, Internal Medicine Michigan Medicine Ann Arbor, Michigan



Neil Love, MD Research To Practice Miami, Florida

CONTENT VALIDATION AND DISCLOSURES

Research To Practice (RTP) is committed to providing its participants with high-quality, unbiased and state-of-the-art education. We assess conflicts of interest with faculty, planners and managers of CME activities. Conflicts of interest are identified and resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process. In addition, all activity content is reviewed by both a member of the RTP scientific staff and an external, independent physician reviewer for fair balance, scientific objectivity of studies referenced and patient care recommendations.

FACULTY — The following faculty (and their spouses/partners) reported relevant conflicts of interest, which have been resolved through a conflict of interest resolution process: **Dr Winer** — Advisory Committee: Genentech, Leap Therapeutics Inc, Lilly, Tesaro Inc. **Dr Hayes** — Consulting Agreements: Artiman Ventures (Cellworks), Cepheid, CVS Caremark Breast Cancer Expert Panel, Freenome Inc; Contracted Research: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceuticals, Lilly, Menarini Silicon Biosystems, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Inc, Pfizer Inc, Puma Biotechnology Inc, Veridex LLC; Royalties from Licenses Technology: Janssen Biotech Inc; Stock: Inbiomotion, Oncimmune Ltd. **Dr Mayer** — Advisory Committee: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Lilly, Novartis; Contracted Research: Genentech, Pfizer Inc.

EDITOR — Dr Love is president and CEO of Research To Practice. Research To Practice receives funds in the form of educational grants to develop CME activities from the following commercial interests: AbbVie Inc, Acerta Pharma - A member of the AstraZeneca Group, Adaptive Biotechnologies, Agendia Inc, Agios Pharmaceuticals Inc, Amgen Inc, Ariad Pharmaceuticals Inc, Array BioPharma Inc, Astellas Pharma Global Development Inc, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Biodesix Inc. bioTheranostics Inc, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals Inc, Boston Biomedical Pharma Inc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Clovis Oncology, Daiichi Sankyo Inc, Dendreon Pharmaceuticals Inc, Eisai Inc, Exelixis Inc, Foundation Medicine, Genentech, Genomic Health Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc, Guardant Health, Halozyme Inc, ImmunoGen Inc, Incyte Corporation, Infinity Pharmaceuticals Inc, Ipsen Biopharmaceuticals Inc, Janssen Biotech Inc, administered by Janssen Scientific Affairs LLC, Jazz Pharmaceuticals Inc, Kite Pharma Inc, Lexicon Pharmaceuticals Inc, Lilly, Loxo Oncology, Medivation Inc, a Pfizer Company, Merck, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Inc, Myriad Genetic Laboratories Inc, Natera Inc, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Pharmacyclics LLC, an AbbVie Company, Prometheus Laboratories Inc, Puma Biotechnology Inc, Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc, Sandoz Inc, a Novartis Division, Sanofi Genzyme, Seattle Genetics, Sirtex Medical Ltd, Spectrum Pharmaceuticals Inc, Taiho Oncology Inc, Takeda Oncology, Tesaro Inc, Teva Oncology and Tokai Pharmaceuticals Inc.

RESEARCH TO PRACTICE CME PLANNING COMMITTEE MEMBERS, STAFF AND REVIEWERS — Planners, scientific staff and independent reviewers for Research To Practice have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose.

This educational activity contains discussion of published and/or investigational uses of agents that are not indicated by the Food and Drug Administration. Research To Practice does not recommend the use of any agent outside of the labeled indications. Please refer to the official prescribing information for each product for discussion of approved indications, contraindications and warnings. The opinions expressed are those of the presenters and are not to be construed as those of the publisher or grantors.

Interview with Eric P Winer, MD

Tracks 1-28

Track 1	Case: A 57-year-old woman
	presents with de novo ER-positive,
	HER2-negative metastatic breast
	cancer (mBC)

- Track 2 Clinical experience with and differential side-effect profiles of CDK4/6 inhibitors
- Track 3 Efficacy of everolimus in patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC; management of treatment-associated mucositis
- Track 4 Response to capecitabine in patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative lobular BC and leptomeningeal metastases
- **Track 5** First-line therapy options for patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC
- Track 6 Choosing among the FDA-approved CDK4/6 inhibitors
- Track 7 Monitoring white blood cell counts in patients receiving CDK4/6 inhibitors
- Track 8 Consideration of CDK4/6 inhibitors in the (neo)adjuvant setting
- Track 9 PALLAS: An ongoing Phase III trial of standard adjuvant endocrine therapy with or without palbociclib for ER-positive, HER2-negative early BC
- Track 10 Case: A 60-year-old woman with moderately differentiated ER-positive, HER2-negative BC and 1 positive node receives a 21-gene assay Recurrence Score® (RS) of 20
- Track 11 TAILORx: Results of a Phase III trial of chemoendocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone for patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative, node-negative BC and an intermediate RS
- Track 12 Applying the TAILORx trial results to the care of patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative BC and limited nodal involvement
- Track 13 Use of genomic assays to help guide treatment decision-making
- Track 14 Seven-year follow-up from the APT trial: Adjuvant paclitaxel and trastuzumab for HER2-positive, node-negative BC
- Track 15 Evaluation of neoadjuvant paclitaxel/ trastuzumab with pertuzumab for Stage II/III BC

- Track 16 Consideration of (neo)adjuvant docetaxel/carboplatin/trastuzumab and pertuzumab versus paclitaxel/trastuzumab for early-stage ER-negative, HER2-positive BC
- Track 17 First-line paclitaxel/trastuzumab/ pertuzumab for ER-negative, HER2-positive mBC
- Track 18 PERTAIN: Results of a Phase II trial of first-line trastuzumab and an aromatase inhibitor with or without pertuzumab for ER-positive, HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC
- Track 19 Case: A 56-year-old woman with previously treated ER-positive, HER2-positive BC experiences disease progression and is found on biopsy to have ER-negative, HER2-positive disease with a P13-kinase mutation
- Track 20 Efficacy of the investigational alpha-specific PI3 kinase inhibitor alpelisib (BYL719) in combination with fulvestrant for ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC
- Track 21 Activity and tolerability of the HER2-selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor tucatinib in patients with ER-negative, HER2-positive advanced BC
- Track 22 Response and tolerability of T-DM1 and pembrolizumab in patients with ER-negative, HER2-positive mBC
- Track 23 IMpassion130: Results of a Phase III study of first-line *nab* paclitaxel alone or in combination with atezolizumab for locally advanced or metastatic triple-negative BC (TNBC)
- **Track 24** Choosing between *nab* and solvent-based paclitaxel
- Track 25 Use of next-generation sequencing for patients with mBC
- Track 26 Approach to BRCA testing for patients with mBC
- Track 27 Case: A 40-year-old woman is diagnosed with locally advanced TNBC with a BRCA1 mutation
- Track 28 Rationale for combining immune checkpoint inhibitors with olaparib or chemotherapy

Interview with Daniel F Hayes, MD

with BC

Weighing the risks and benefits of

adjuvant chemotherapy for patients

Tracks 1-14

Track 1

Track 2	Prospective validation of the		therapy
	21-gene assay RS for ER-positive, HER2-negative BC	Track 8	Use of genomic assays to guide neoadjuvant therapy decision-making
Track 3	Critical evaluation of the Phase III TAILORx trial results: Adjuvant chemotherapy guided by the 21-gene assay RS for ER-positive,	Track 9	Selection of hormonal therapy versus chemotherapy for patients with symptomatic ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC
Track 4	node-negative BC Clinical basis for the ongoing Phase III RxPONDER trial evaluating standard	Track 10	Activity and tolerability of CDK4/6 inhibitors for ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC
	adjuvant endocrine therapy with or without chemotherapy for patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative BC, 1 to 3 positive nodes and a RS of 25	Track 11	Comparison of FDA-approved CDK4/6 inhibitors: Efficacy, tolerability and dosing
	or lower	Track 12	Perspective on the investigation of
Track 5	Application of the TAILORx trial results in clinical practice		immune checkpoint inhibitors in combination with chemotherapy for patients with metastatic TNBC
Track 6	Updated results from the TEXT and SOFT trials: Adjuvant endocrine therapy with ovarian	Track 13	Role of PARP inhibitors for patients with mBC and a germline BRCA mutation
	function suppression for premeno- pausal women with ER-positive, HER2-negative BC	Track 14	Use of trastuzumab/pertuzumab in the adjuvant setting and neratinib in the extended-adjuvant setting for patients with HER2-positive BC
Intervie	ew with Ingrid A Mayer, MD, MSC	il .	
Tracks	1-15		
Track 1	Case: A 43-year-old woman who previously declined adjuvant therapy for Stage II, ER/PR-positive, HER2-negative BC with 1 positive node presents 3 years later with	Track 5	Clinical implications of the TAILORx trial results: Adjuvant chemotherapy guided by the 21-gene assay RS for ER-positive, HER2-negative, node-negative BC
Track 2	symptomatic metastatic disease RxPONDER: An ongoing Phase III	Track 6	Discussion of the TAILORx trial results in premenopausal participants
	trial of standard adjuvant endocrine	Track 7	Perspective on the use of the 21-gene

Track 7

Importance of menopausal status,

assay for postmenopausal women

TAILORx trial results: Risk of distant

Use of palliative radiation therapy for

pathologic fracture before initiation

of CDK4/6 inhibitor-based systemic

recurrence for premenopausal women

with limited nodal involvement

number of positive nodes and RS in the selection of an adjuvant endocrine

Track 8

Track 9

therapy

therapy with or without chemotherapy

HER2-negative BC, 1 to 3 positive

Perspective on ordering the 21-gene

assay for patients with node-positive

Prognostic and predictive value of

the 21-gene assay RS for patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative,

node-negative BC

for patients with ER-positive,

nodes and a RS of 25 or lower

Track 3

Track 4

ВС

Interview with Dr Mayer (continued)

- Track 10 Strategies to combat primary and acquired resistance to endocrine therapies
- Track 11 Magnitude of benefit with the addition of CDK4/6 inhibitors to endocrine therapy for ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC in the first- and second-line settings
- Track 12 Exploring the value of continuing therapy with CDK4/6 inhibitors and switching endocrine therapy partner after disease progression
- Track 13 Clinical experience with and management of everolimus-associated mucositis
- Track 14 Case: A 48-year-old woman with ER-positive, HER2-positive mBC receives neoadjuvant trastuzumab/ chemotherapy
- Track 15 Factors driving the decision to administer trastuzumab/pertuzumab and/or neratinib to patients with HER2-positive early BC

Video Program

View the corresponding video interviews with (from left) Drs Winer, Hayes and Mayer by Dr Love at www.ResearchToPractice.com/BCU119/Video



Have Questions or Cases You Would Like Us to Pose to the Faculty?





Submit them to us via Facebook or Twitter and we will do our best to get them answered for you

Facebook.com/ResearchToPractice or Twitter @DrNeilLove

Breast Cancer Update — Volume 17, Issue 2

QUESTIONS (PLEASE CIRCLE ANSWER):

1.	Results fro	om the Phase III IMpassion130
	trial	a significant improvement
	in progres	sion-free survival with the addition
	of atezoliz	umab to nab paclitaxel as first-line
	treatment	for metastatic TNBC.

- a. Demonstrated
- b. Did not demonstrate
- 2. In a follow-up analysis of the APT trial evaluating adjuvant paclitaxel/trastuzumab for HER2-positive, node-negative BC, the 7-year disease-free survival rate was approximately
 - a. 3%
 - b. 50%
 - c. 93%
- 3. Updated results from the TEXT and SOFT trials included a statistically significant improvement in freedom from distant recurrence among premenopausal women with ER-positive, HER2-negative BC who received adjuvant exemestane and ovarian function suppression compared to tamoxifen alone.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 4. Which of the following categories reflects the mechanism of action of alpelisib (BYL719)?
 - a. Antibody-drug conjugate
 - b. Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibody
 - c. CDK4/6 inhibitor
 - d. PI3 kinase inhibitor
 - e. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor
- 5. In the Phase III TAILORx study evaluating adjuvant therapy for patients with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, nodenegative BC and an intermediate RS of 11 to 25, adjuvant endocrine therapy alone was _____ to chemoendocrine therapy in terms of invasive disease-free survival in the overall patient population.
 - a. Inferior
 - b. Noninferior

- 6. Which of the following CDK4/6 inhibitors appears to penetrate the CNS more effectively than do the others?
 - a. Abemaciclib
 - b. Palbociclib
 - c. Ribociclib
 - d. None of the above (all appear to penetrate the CNS equally)
- 7. Exploratory analyses of the TAILORx trial results suggest a potential benefit with chemotherapy for ______ patients with an intermediate-range RS, particularly those with a score between 16 and 25.
 - a. Premenopausal
 - b. Postmenopausal
 - c. Neither a nor b
- The Phase III RxPONDER study randomly assigns patients with ER-positive, HER2-negative, node-negative BC and a RS of 25 or higher to adjuvant endocrine therapy with or without chemotherapy.
 - a. True
 - b. False
- 9. Which of the following categories reflects the mechanism of action of tucatinib?
 - a. Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibody
 - b. CDK4/6 inhibitor
 - c. Tyrosine kinase inhibitor
- 10. In the Phase III PALOMA-3 study, the addition of palbociclib to fulvestrant resulted in a statistically significant improvement in overall survival for patients with HR-positive, HER2-negative advanced BC who were sensitive to previous endocrine therapy.
 - a. True
 - b. False

SELECT PUBLICATIONS

A phase III, randomized clinical trial of standard adjuvant endocrine therapy +/- chemotherapy in patients with 1-3 positive nodes, hormone receptor-positive and HER2-negative breast cancer with Recurrence Score (RS) of 25 or less. RxPONDER: A clinical trial Rx for positive node, endocrine responsive breast cancer. NCT01272037

Albain KS et al; Breast Cancer Intergroup of North America. Prognostic and predictive value of the 21-gene Recurrence Score assay in postmenopausal women with node-positive, oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer on chemotherapy: A retrospective analysis of a randomised trial. Lancet Oncol 2010;11(1):55-65.

André F et al. Alpelisib (ALP) + fulvestrant (FUL) for advanced breast cancer (ABC): Results of the phase 3 SOLAR-1 trial. Proc ESMO 2018; Abstract LBA3_PR.

Baselga J et al. Phase III study of taselisib (GDC-0032) + fulvestrant (FULV) v FULV in patients (pts) with estrogen receptor (ER)-positive, PIK3CA-mutant (MUT), locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer (MBC): Primary analysis from SANDPIPER. Proc ASCO 2018:Abstract LBA1006.

Cristofanilli M et al. Overall survival (OS) with palbociclib plus fulvestrant in women with hormone receptor-positive (HR+), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative (HER2-) advanced breast cancer (ABC): Analyses from PALOMA-3. Proc ESMO 2018:Abstract LBA2 PR.

Fasching PA et al. Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in advanced breast cancer (ABC) treated with ribociclib + fulvestrant: Results from MONALEESA-3. Proc ESMO 2018; Abstract 290O.

Francis PA et al. Tailoring adjuvant endocrine therapy for premenopausal breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2018;379(2):122-37.

Gnant M et al. Duration of extended adjuvant therapy with neratinib in early-stage HER2+breast cancer after trastuzumab-based therapy: Exploratory analyses from the phase III ExteNET trial. Proc ASCO 2018; Abstract 524.

Jasem J et al. The 21-gene Recurrence Score assay for node-positive, early-stage breast cancer and impact of RxPONDER trial on chemotherapy decision-making: Have clinicians already decided? J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2017;15(4):494-503.

Jerusalem G et al. Everolimus plus exemestane vs everolimus or capecitabine monotherapy for estrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer: The BOLERO-6 randomized clinical trial. JAMA Oncol 2018;4(10):1367-74.

Mayer E et al. PALLAS: PALbociclib CoLlaborative Adjuvant Study: A randomized phase 3 trial of palbociclib with standard adjuvant endocrine therapy versus standard adjuvant endocrine therapy alone for HR+/HER2- early breast cancer. San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium 2017; Abstract OT3-05-08.

Murthy RK et al. Clinical benefit of tucatinib after isolated brain progression: A retrospective pooled analysis of tucatinib phase 1b studies in HER2+ breast cancer. *Proc ASCO* 2018: Abstract 1015.

Murthy R et al. Tucatinib with capecitabine and trastuzumab in advanced HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer with and without brain metastases: A non-randomised, open-label, phase 1b study. Lancet Oncol 2018;19(7):880-8.

Neven P et al. Abemaciclib for pre/perimenopausal women with HR+, HER2- advanced breast cancer. Proc ASCO 2018; Abstract 1002.

Regan MM et al. Absolute improvements in freedom from distant recurrence with adjuvant endocrine therapies for premenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive (HR+) HER2-negative breast cancer (BC): Results from TEXT and SOFT. Proc ASCO 2018; Abstract 503.

Rimawi M et al; PERTAIN Study Group. First-line trastuzumab plus an aromatase inhibitor, with or without pertuzumab, in human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive and hormone receptor-positive metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer (PERTAIN): A randomized, open-label phase II trial. J Clin Oncol 2018;36(28):2826-35.

Schmid P et al. IMpassion130: Results from a global, randomised, double-blind, phase 3 study of atezolizumab (atezo) + nab-paclitaxel (nab-P) vs placebo + nab-P in treatment-naive, locally advanced or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC). Proc ESMO 2018; Abstract LBA1 PR.

Schmid P et al; IMpassion130 Trial Investigators. **Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer.** N Engl J Med 2018;379(22):2108-21.

Sestak I et al. Comparison of the performance of 6 prognostic signatures for estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer: A secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2018;4(4):545-53.

Slamon DJ et al. Phase III randomized study of ribociclib and fulvestrant in hormone receptor-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative advanced breast cancer: MONALEESA-3. J Clin Oncol 2018;36(24):2465-72.

Sparano JA et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy guided by a 21-gene expression assay in breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2018;379(2):111-21.

Sparano JA et al. TAILORx: Phase III trial of chemoendocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone in hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, node-negative breast cancer and an intermediate prognosis 21-gene Recurrence Score. Proc ASCO 2018; Abstract LBA1.

Sparano JA et al. Prospective validation of a 21-gene expression assay in breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2015;373(21):2005-14.

Stearns V. TAILORing adjuvant systemic therapy for breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2018;379(2):191-2.

Tolaney SM et al. Seven-year (yr) follow-up of adjuvant paclitaxel (T) and trastuzumab (H) (APT trial) for node-negative, HER2-positive breast cancer (BC). Proc ASCO 2017; Abstract 511.

Turner NC et al. Overall survival with palbociclib and fulvestrant in advanced breast cancer. $N Engl \ J \ Med \ 2018;379(20):1926-36.$

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM

Breast Cancer Update — Volume 17, Issue 2

Research To Practice is committed to providing valuable continuing education for oncology clinicians, and your input is critical to helping us achieve this important goal. Please take the time to assess the activity you just completed, with the assurance that your answers and suggestions are strictly confidential.

PART 1 — Please tell us about your experience with this educational activity

All and a second all as		a foreign and a state of		Laure I	- 4	the second and sec-		41	Call and and	4
How would y	ou	cnaracterize	vour	ievei	OT	Knowledge	on	tne	TOIIOWINE	topics:

4 = Excellent $3 = Good 2 =$		- Subontimal
4 - EXCERENT 5 - GOOD 2 -		
	BEFORE	AFTER
TAILORx: Results of a Phase III study of chemoendocrine therapy versus endocrine therapy alone for patients with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, node-negative BC and an intermediate 21-gene assay RS	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Available data with and choosing among the FDA-approved CDK4/6 inhibitors abemaciclib, palbociclib and ribociclib for ER-positive, HER2-negative mBC	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Updated results from the TEXT and SOFT trials: Adjuvant endocrine therapy with ovarian function suppression for premenopausal women with ER-positive, HER2-negative BC	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
PERTAIN: Results of a Phase II trial of first-line trastuzumab and an aromatase inhibitor with or without pertuzumab for ER-positive, HER2-positive locally advanced or metastatic BC	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
Primary results from the Phase III IMpassion130 study of first-line <i>nab</i> paclitaxel alone or in combination with atezolizumab for advanced TNBC	4 3 2 1	4 3 2 1
 Academic center/medical school Community cancer center/h Solo practice Government (eg, VA) Other (please s Approximately how many new patients with breast cancer do you see per year 	pecify)	
Was the activity evidence based, fair, balanced and free from commercial Yes No If no, please explain:		
Please identify how you will change your practice as a result of completing apply). This activity validated my current practice Create/revise protocols, policies and/or procedures Change the management and/or treatment of my patients		
Other (please explain):		
If you intend to implement any changes in your practice, please provide 1	•	
The content of this activity matched my current (or potential) scope of pra		
☐ Yes ☐ No If no, please explain:		
Please respond to the following learning objectives (LOs) by circling the al $4 = \text{Yes}$ $3 = \text{Will}$ consider $2 = \text{No}$ $1 = \text{Already doing}$ $\text{N/M} = \text{LO}$ not		
As a result of this activity, I will be able to: Implement a long-term clinical plan for the management of metastatic HER: BC, incorporating existing, recently approved and emerging targeted treatm. Consider published data to guide the use of biomarkers and genomic assay	ents4 3	3 2 1 N/M N/A
assess risk and individualize therapy for patients with hormone receptor-pos BC in the neoadjuvant, adjuvant and extended-adjuvant settings • Develop an evidence-based algorithm for the treatment of advanced, hormo	sitive 4 3 one	2 1 N/M N//
receptor-positive pre- and postmenopausal BC, including the use of endocr biologic and chemotherapeutic agents	4 3	2 1 N/M N//

EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND CREDIT FORM (continued)

 As a result of this activity, I will be able to: Consider published research and patient preferences in the selection and sequencing of available and investigational therapeutic agents for metastatic triple-negative BC 4 3 2 1 N/M N/A 											
Counsel appropriately selected patients with BC about participation in ongoing clinical trials											
Please describe any clinical situations that you find difficult to manage or resolve that you would like to see addressed in future educational activities:											
Would you recommend this activity to ☐ Yes ☐ No	o a colleagi	ie?									
If no, please explain:											
PART 2 — Please tell us about the	he faculty a	nd ed	itor f	or this educ	ationa	l activ	ity				
4 = Excellent $3 = Good$ $2 = Adequate$ $1 = Suboptimal$											
Faculty	Knowled	lge of	subje	ct matter	Eff	ective	ness	as an	s an educator		
Eric P Winer, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1		
Daniel F Hayes, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1		
Ingrid A Mayer, MD, MSCI	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1		
Editor	Knowledge of subject matter					Effectiveness as an educator					
Neil Love, MD	4	3	2	1		4	3	2	1		
REQUEST FOR CREDIT — P	lease print	clearl	y								
Name:				Specialty:							
Professional Designation: MD DO PharmD				. ,							
Street Address:											
City, State, Zip:											
Telephone:											
Email:											
Research To Practice designates this Credits TM . Physicians should claim or in the activity.	enduring n	nateria	l for	a maximun	1 of 3.2	25 <i>AN</i>	IA PR	A Cate parti	egory 1 cipation		
I certify my actual time spent to com	plete this e	educat	ional	activity to	be		_ hour	(s).			
Signature:					Da	ate:					
I would like Research To Practice points. I understand that because I a share personally identifiable informat	ım requesti	ng MC	C cr	edit. Resear	ABIM rch To	to co Practi	unt to ce wil	ward i	my MOC equired to		
Additional information for MOC credi			0								

The expiration date for this activity is January 2020. To obtain a certificate of completion and receive credit for this activity, please complete the Post-test, fill out the Educational Assessment and Credit Form and fax both to (800) 447-4310, or mail both to Research To Practice, One Biscayne Tower, 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600, Miami, FL 33131. You may also complete the Post-test and Educational Assessment online at www.ResearchToPractice.com/BCU119/CME.

Date of Birth (Month and Day Only): ____/__ ABIM 6-Digit ID Number:

If you are not sure of your ABIM ID, please visit http://www.abim.org/verify-physician.aspx.

21D 2150

PRSRT STD U.S. POSTAGE PAID MIAMI, FL PERMIT #1317

Breast Cancer

U P D A T E

Neil Love, MD

Research To Practice
One Biscayne Tower
2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3600
Miami, FL 33131

Copyright © 2019 Research To Practice.
This activity is supported by educational grants from
AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP, Eisai Inc, Genomic
Health Inc, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer Inc, Puma
Biotechnology Inc and Seattle Genetics.

Research To Practice®

Research To Practice is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

Release date: January 2019
Expiration date: January 2020
Estimated time to complete: 3.25 hours